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The Honorable Martin O’Malley
Governor
State House
Annapolis, MD 21401

Dear Governor O’Malley:

On behalf of the members of the State Council on Cancer Control, I am pleased to submit the Council’s 2008 Annual Report to you.

During 2008, the Council continued to carry out its mission by holding three meetings and one all day event, our 15th Annual Cancer Control Conference. In January 2008, the Council once again worked with our partners in urging the Maryland General Assembly to pass several important pieces of legislation. In November, the Cancer Council held the 15th Annual Cancer Control Conference at Martin’s West. Attended by over 500 people the event was a direct result of the hard work and dedication of members and staff. Finally, throughout 2008 the Council continued its work on implementing the Maryland Comprehensive Cancer Control Plan and has started overseeing the process for updating the plan.

Looking forward to 2009, the Maryland State Council on Cancer Control will continue to utilize evidence-based research as the foundation for all Cancer Council activities and recommendations for cancer control programs and policies. In addition to focusing on a host of important topics, the Council will focus our efforts on several key issues outlined later in this report.

The Maryland State Council on Cancer Control looks forward building a strong relationship with your office, the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, as well as community groups, so that we may all contribute in the fight against cancer in Maryland.

Sincerely,

Kathy Helzlsouer, M.D., M.H.S.
Chair – Maryland State Council on Cancer Control
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II. History, Mission, and Current Chair

History
The Maryland State Council on Cancer Control (Council) is a 25-member body appointed by the Governor with members selected from State agencies involved in cancer screening, prevention and treatment services, as well as members representing the general public, major academic medical institutions in Maryland’s cancer community, national organizations, the business community, and health and scientific disciplines concerned with cancer control. In addition, at least one member of the Council is a known cancer survivor, one is a member of the Maryland State Senate, and another is a member of the Maryland House of Delegates. The Council was established by an Executive Order on June 26, 1991. The mission of the Council was reaffirmed with updated Executive Orders in 1997 and 2002. For a copy of the Council’s Executive Order, please see Appendix A.

Council Mission
The Council advises the Governor, other government officials, public and private organizations, and the general public on comprehensive State policies and programs necessary to reduce the incidence and mortality of cancer in Maryland. In addition, the Council is charged with promoting and coordinating, in cooperation with other federal, State, local, or private agencies, unified programs that identify and address the cancer needs of Marylanders such as public and private partnerships to improve access to prevention, screening, and treatment services. Finally, the Council is charged with reviewing existing and planned cancer programs in the public and private sectors to ensure proper allocation of State resources.

Current Council Chair
In July 2008, Dr. Kathy Helzlsouer, Director of the Prevention and Research Center at Mercy Hospital was appointed as the third Chair of the Maryland State Council on Cancer Control.

Dr. Helzlsouer is a medical oncologist and clinical epidemiologist. She is an adjunct Professor of Epidemiology at the Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health.

Dr. Helzlsouer has numerous publications and presentations to her credit and is internationally recognized for particular expertise in clinical epidemiology, cancer epidemiology and cancer prevention.

She currently serves on several advisory boards including the PDQ Cancer Screening and Prevention Committee of the National Cancer Institute (NCI), and the editorial board of the Journal of the National Cancer Institute.
III. Maryland State Council on Cancer Control
2008 Meeting Schedule

The State Council on Cancer Control held three meetings and one conference during calendar year 2008. Agenda items for these meetings included the Cigarette Restitution Fund Program, legislation arising from the 2008 Maryland General Assembly, and the Maryland Comprehensive Cancer Control Plan. Agendas for the 2008 Cancer Council Meetings can be found in Appendix B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Friday June 20, 2008</td>
<td>American Cancer Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30 – 11:30</td>
<td>White Marsh, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday September 19, 2008</td>
<td>Mercy Medical Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30 – 11:30</td>
<td>Baltimore, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Cancer Conference</td>
<td>15th Annual Cancer Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 19, 2008</td>
<td>Martin’s West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30 – 3:30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday December 19, 2008</td>
<td>Howard County Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30 – 11:30</td>
<td>Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia, MD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agendas for the 2008 Cancer Council Meetings can be found in Appendix B
IV. 2008 Council Activities and Accomplishments

A. 2008 Legislative Session

Since its inception in 1991, the State Council on Cancer Control has played an active role in the legislative process by supporting cancer education, prevention, and screening measures in the Maryland General Assembly. In order to garner Council support, these measures must be aimed at reducing the incidence and burden of cancer in Maryland. During the 2008 session, the Cancer Council supported efforts that limit minor’s access to tanning facilities. This bill represents over five years of efforts in the skin cancer community and was enacted into law in 2008.

In addition, legislation calling for further regulation of smoking in automobiles with minors was considered, but ultimately not given a favorable report. Finally, the Council opposed proposed legislation which would have created amendments to the Clean Indoor Act of 2007. This measure did not make any progress.

For a complete listing of all legislation tracked during the 2008 Maryland General Assembly session, see Appendix C.

B. Comprehensive Cancer Control Planning in Maryland

Since October 2001, DHMH has participated in a cooperative agreement with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to update the Maryland State Cancer Plan. During a 29-month planning process, the State Council on Cancer Control served as the managing body directing the comprehensive cancer control planning efforts for the State of Maryland.

Since its publication in April 2004, over 1,400 copies of the 2004-2008 Maryland Comprehensive Cancer Control Plan have been disseminated across the State of Maryland and the country. An Executive Summary of the Maryland Comprehensive Cancer Control Plan was published in 2006 and has been disseminated to over 750 individuals and organizations.

In 2005, DHMH applied, on behalf of and in collaboration with, the Baltimore City Colorectal Cancer Collaborative and its partner organizations, for a grant to conduct colorectal cancer screening in Baltimore City under a demonstration project with the CDC. Objectives contained in the colorectal cancer chapter of the Maryland Comprehensive Cancer Control Plan were the basis of the application. In September 2005, DHMH was awarded a cooperative agreement from the CDC for a multi-site colorectal cancer screening demonstration project in Baltimore City. The program is managed by DHMH, with the Collaborative serving as the Steering Committee for all activities under this cooperative agreement. As of December 2008, this program has screened over 600 individuals from Baltimore City.

The www.MarylandCancerPlan.org Web site continues to be the “home base” for comprehensive cancer control efforts in Maryland. Updated regularly by DHMH, this
Web site has served as an effective tool for information dissemination, evaluation, and program announcements. In addition, the Web site was used to register individuals for the various Council conferences, many of which focused on a host of issues contained in the 2004-2008 Maryland Comprehensive Cancer Control Plan. Since its launch in 2002, over 70,000 hits have been registered on this website.

During calendar year 2009 and 2010, the Maryland Comprehensive Cancer Control will be updated with the goal of publishing the 2011 – 2015 Maryland Comprehensive Cancer Control Plan in December 2010.
V. Cervical Cancer Activities: The Human Papilloma Virus Vaccine Subcommittee

During the 2004 Maryland General Assembly, Senate Bill 499 established a Cervical Cancer Subcommittee of the Maryland Comprehensive Cancer Control Plan. The bill required DHMH to staff the Committee, and required the Committee to present findings and recommendations about developments in cervical cancer to the Governor and the General Assembly annually for five years beginning in October 1, 2004.

Due to the emergence of HPV Vaccines in the healthcare market in 2006 the 2007 Maryland General Assembly passed HB 1049, a measure establishing the Human Papilloma Virus Vaccine Subcommittee. This subcommittee is charged with the following responsibilities:

(I) Examine federal and State programs relating to the HPV vaccine;

(II) Develop a public awareness and education campaign about the HPV vaccine with an emphasis on parental education;

(III) Evaluate the availability and affordability of the HPV vaccine, including coverage by health insurers and public health programs;

(IV) Identify barriers to the administration of the HPV vaccine to all recommended individuals;

(V) Identify and evaluate various resources to cover the costs of the HPV vaccine;

(VI) Identify and evaluate appropriate mechanisms the State may use to increase access to the HPV vaccine, including mandating the HPV vaccine for enrollment in school on or before September 1 of each year; and

(VII) Submit a report on its findings and recommendations to the Cervical Cancer Committee of the Maryland Cancer Plan.

During the fall of 2007, members were appointed to the Subcommittee by DHMH Secretary John Colmers and the first meeting of the group occurred in January 2008.

A list of the Subcommittee members is on the following page and the legislation establishing the HPV Vaccines Subcommittee can be found in Appendix D.
# Human Papilloma Virus Vaccine Subcommittee Membership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subcommittee Slot</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>Gloria Jetter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>American Cancer Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSDE</td>
<td>Anne Walker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maryland State Department of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland PTA</td>
<td>Laura Carr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland State Teachers Association</td>
<td>Kim Edler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Teacher, Cecil County Public Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland Association of Boards of Education</td>
<td>Cathy Allen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St. Mary’s County Board of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MACHO</td>
<td>Anne Bailowitz, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baltimore City Dept. Of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Society for Adolescent Medicine</td>
<td>Ann Bruner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Society of Adolescent Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med-Chi</td>
<td>Lauren Gordon, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Franklin Square Hospital Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics</td>
<td>Avril Houston, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baltimore City Dept. of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s National Medical Center</td>
<td>Joseph L. Wright, MD, MPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health</td>
<td>Dr. Ann Klassen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland Council for American Private Education</td>
<td>Dr. Nicola Lundin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Insurance Industry</td>
<td>Dr. Charles Medani, MBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carefirst Blue Cross/Blue Shield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer</td>
<td>Elizabeth Eugene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Student</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Meetings of the HPV Vaccines Subcommittee

In 2008, the Human Papilloma Virus Vaccine Subcommittee met five times and heard from a multitude of experts on the issue of HPV, HPV Vaccines, Cervical Cancer, and a host of other issues. The HPV Vaccine Subcommittee meeting schedule with agenda items is below.

**January 22, 2008 – White Marsh, MD**

**Greetings and Introductions**
- Introduction of members and Chair
- Background of HPV Vaccine Subcommittee Development
- Goals and Objectives of HPV Vaccine Subcommittee

**March 5, 2008 – White Marsh, MD**

**Burden of Cervical Cancer and HPV Vaccines Primer**
- Introduction to Cervical Cancer and HPV
- Burden of Cervical Cancer in MD
- Current Cervical Cancer Programs in MD
- HPV Vaccines: An Introduction

**May 7, 2008 – Gambrills, MD**

**Current Guidance on Available HPV Vaccines**
- Organizational Guidelines on Vaccination with HPV Vaccines
- Federal guidelines on the vaccination of females with HPV Vaccine
- HPV Vaccine Mandates Passed/Implemented Across the Country
- HPV Vaccine Presentations by Pharmaceutical Companies

**June 24, 2008 – Baltimore, MD**

**HPV vaccines: Availability, Affordability, and Access**
- Current Maryland vaccine programs
- Insurance Coverage Presentation (MD Insurance Commission)
- Bioethics and Health Economics Information (JHSPH)
- Impact of an HPV Vaccine Mandate on Organizations (e.g. Maryland Schools)

**November 13, 2008 – White Marsh, MD**
- Discussion Session on Recommendations

Following their November meeting the HPV Vaccines Subcommittee has started formulating recommendations and developing a final report with recommendations across a host of issues related to HPV Vaccines in Maryland. The report is expected to be published in calendar year 2009.
VII: 2008 Maryland State Council on Cancer Control Annual Cancer Conference
2007 Maryland State Council on Cancer Control Conference

On November 12, 2008, at Martin’s West in Baltimore, Maryland, the Maryland State Council on Cancer Control hosted its 15th annual cancer conference on the issues and challenges in cancer control in Maryland. Over 500 individual attended the conference, and it was by far the largest event ever sponsored by the State Council on Cancer Control.

Opening the morning session of the conference with welcoming remarks was the Secretary of DHMH, John Colmers. Secretary Colmers thanked all of the attendees for their time, effort, and dedication in the fight against cancer and focused his formal remarks on access to quality care and cancer disparities. Secretary Colmers also congratulated the University of Maryland Greenebaum Cancer for attaining the status of NCI Designated Cancer Center.

Following the remarks by Secretary Colmers, new Cancer Council Chair Dr. Kathy Helzlsouer (at right) had the chance to introduce herself to the conference participants and welcomed all attendees to the conference.

During her welcoming address, Dr. Helzlsouer spoke of her experiences in cancer control and spoke about the growth of the conference. She noted that with over 500 attendees, it was the largest gathering in Cancer Council history. Dr. Helzlsouer spoke further about the issue of cancer and the importance of the work being done by everyone in the room to fight the disease. Dr. Helzlsouer acknowledged and thanked Dr. Lilly for his dedication and past work as past Chair of the Cancer Council and spoke of the achievements accomplished during his tenure.

Following Dr. Helzlsouer’s opening remarks, Dr. Arthur Schatzkin, the Chief of the Nutritional Epidemiology Branch and Senior Investigator at the National Cancer Institute examined the link between diet and cancer, and provided guidance on what constitutes a healthy approach to eating.

Dr. Schatzkin (at left) reviewed the research linking food intake and cancer incidence but also acknowledged the gaps in the science as well. Dr. Schatzkin also spent time covering the recommendations contained in the monograph “Food, Nutrition, Physical Activity and the Prevention of Cancer” published by the World Cancer Research Fund and The American Institute for Cancer Research.

1 The conference agenda, along with additional conference materials may be found in Appendix E.
Following Dr. Schatzkin, Dr. Chalotte Pratt, a Research Nutritionist on the National Institutes for Health’s NHLBI Working Group on Competencies for Overweight and Obesity Identification, Prevention, and Treatment, presented a talk entitled “Childhood Obesity: Lifelong Risk Factor.”

Dr. Pratt examined the link between childhood obesity and chronic diseases, as well as the obesity and cancer risk. Dr. Pratt also highlighted national efforts to curtail the obesity problem, including the WE CAN Program and the NIH Obesity Task Force.

To close her presentation Dr. Pratt offered opportunities for Maryland jurisdictions to take steps in reducing the levels of obesity in their areas by encouraging physical activity and better nutritional habits.

A highlight of the morning was the “best practice” session highlighting successful practical applications to the problems outlined in the morning sessions panel. Two organizations currently implementing groundbreaking diet and physical activity programs in Maryland presented.

Leading off the panel was Chef Tony Geraci (at left), the head Chef for the Baltimore City Public Schools System. Chef Geraci spoke at length and with great passion about his development of an innovative school food program incorporating fresh, locally grown food. The program, which started while he was in New Hampshire, has been featured in Gourmet magazine and focuses on fresh, locally grown fruits and vegetables.

Chef Geraci’s aim is to introduce Baltimore schoolchildren to a new way of healthy eating in a system that provides many children their only nutritious meal of the day. Chef Geraci also pointed out that childhood obesity drives his mission to deliver healthier food options to children.

Chef Geraci closed his talk by highlighting the cost effectiveness of his program and how, by leveraging local resources, local jurisdictions could not only feed their children better school lunches, but also save money.

Following Chef Geraci was Kay Morris (at right), founder and CEO of Marathon Kids, a free, endurance building program aimed at kindergarten through 5th graders. Started in 1999, the goal of Marathon Kids is for the child to develop the love and habit of moving through space and to carry forward the power of muscular, nutritional, and psychological well being.

Over the course of six months, children run or walk 26.2 miles in ¼ mile increments until they reach their goal. As they progress through their mileage, children color in a log book. Participants are also encouraged to eat 5 servings of fruits and vegetables a day for a minimum of 26 days a month.
To conclude her presentation, Ms. Morris showed a 5 minute video of a Marathon Kids kick-off and finish celebration.

Following the Solution to Obesity Panel, the Directors of Maryland’s two major Cancer Centers shared their thoughts on the emerging issues in cancer control. Dr. William Nelson (at left), the new Director of the Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins, talked about his vision for the cancer center and a strategic vision for research at the Kimmel Cancer Center. Dr. Nelson spoke about the Cigarette Restitution Fund Program and the Center’s focus on being an engine for translating research into real world applications.

After Dr. Nelson’s talk, Dr. Kevin Cullen (at left), the director of the University of Maryland Gereenbaum Cancer Center uspoke of the University of Maryland’s efforts to utilize CRF research funds to leverage additional research grants from other sources and become an NCI designated Cancer Center in 2008. Dr. Cullen mentioned Dr. Angela Brodie’s continued work on Aromatase Inhibitors to fight breast cancer, but also focused attention on the areas of Viral Oncology and Tumor Immunology.

After the conferences traditional networking luncheon, Dr. Kathy Helzlsouer announced to the attendees that the Cancer Council members were again presenting the Martin D. Abeloff Award for Excellence in Public Health and Cancer Control. Dr. Helzlsouer stated that this award recognizes work in the area of cancer control which also influences the public health of all citizens.

The 2008 recipient of the Abeloff award was Dr. Angela Brodie, (at right) from the University of Maryland School of Medicine and Greenebaum Cancer Center. Dr. Brodie was signaled out from a field of applicants for her groundbreaking work in developing aromatase inhibitors, a new class of breast cancer drugs.

Aromatase inhibitors medications have been proven beneficial in reducing recurrence of breast cancer among women with hormone responsive types of breast cancer. These medications have made a tremendous contribution to the available treatments for breast cancer and contribute to the documented reduction in breast cancer mortality. In announcing that the award was being given to Dr. Brodie, Dr. Helzlsouer thanked her for her significant contributions on behalf of the Council and all those her work has affected.
In accepting the award Dr. Brodie thanked all of her coworkers, assistants, and fellow researchers. In her normally humble manner, Dr. Brodie attributed her success to doing a job she loved.

Following the presentation of the Abeloff Award was the concluding panel on Survivorship and The Family. Dr. Kathy Helzlsouer, Chair of the Cancer Council and Director of the Prevention Research Center at Mercy Medical Center started the panel and walked the audience through the definitions of survivorship and the issues that will dealt with by not only the cancer patient, but also the family.

Dr. Helzlsouer emphasized the importance of address all needs of the patient and their family, psychologically and physically. She outlined the inter-relationship of mind and body and the effect on health. Dr. Helzlsouer presented the results of the “Be well, Stay Healthy” program and its impact on lessening persistent fatigue that plagues 30 to 40% of women who have been treated for breast cancer. She emphasized the need to provide psychosocial support to cancer patients and their families.

Dr. Julianne Oktay, a professor at the University Of Maryland School Of Social Work followed Dr. Helzlsouer and focused her talk on the impact of cancer on the family, using the Institute of Medicine report and studies illustrating the family responsibilities during the various phases of cancer treatment. Dr. Oktay then focused on interventions that can lead to easing of stresses on the patient, as well as the family. Dr. Oktay closed her talk by stressing the need to move from a patient centered model of care to a family centered model of care.

Following the panel on Survivorship and the Family, Dr. Helzlsouer thanked all for attending and pronounced the meeting closed.

**Exhibitors**

The Cancer Conference hosted 21 exhibitors from various cancer-related organizations and programs. Evaluations noted that the exhibits were very beneficial and informational to the attendees.

**Conference Evaluation and Feedback**

Conference participants were asked to complete a survey that allowed them to comment on the facilities and conference organization. Over 300 (76%) of the conference attendees completed the survey. Over 88% of the evaluations said that the conference quality was excellent.
VIII: Appendices
Appendix A

State Council on Cancer Control
Executive Order
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THE EXECUTIVE ORDER WILL BE INSERTED DURING THE PRINTING PROCESS
THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

THE EXECUTIVE ORDER WILL BE INSERTED DURING THE PRINTING PROCESS
Appendix B

2008 State Council on Cancer Control
Meeting Agendas
American Cancer Society – White Marsh
June 20, 2008
9:30 AM – 11:30 PM

Agenda

- **Call to Order**  
  Dr. J Richard Lilly

- **Approval Meeting Minutes**  
  Group

- **Announcements**  
  Dr. J. Richard Lilly

- **American Cancer Society Access to Care Presentation**  
  ACS Staff

- **Council Conference Update**  
  Felicia Plummer

- **Cigarette Restitution Fund Update**  
  Dr. Carlessia Hussein  
  Donna Gugel  
  Joan Stine

- **Committee Reports**  
  - Cancer Registry Advisory  
    Dr. Katherine Farrell  
  - Legislative  
    Dr. Mary Leach

- **Secretary of Health Address to the Council**  
  Sec. John Colmers

- **New Business**  
  Group
Agenda

- **Call to Order** Dr. Kathy Helzlsouer
- **Introductions**
- **Approval of June Meeting Minutes**
- **Announcements**
- **Council Conference Update** Robert Villanueva
- **Cigarette Restitution Fund Update** Dr. Carlessia Hussein
  Donna Gugel
  Joan Stine
- **Committee Reports**
  - Cancer Registry Adv. Committee Dr. Katherine Farrell
  - Legislative Committee Dr. Mary Leach
- **Strategic Planning Discussion** Group
- **New Business** Group
Agenda

- **Call to Order**
  - Dr. Kathy Helzlsouer

- **Introductions**

- **Approval of September Meeting Minutes**

- **Announcements**

- **Council Conference Update**
  - Robert Villanueva
  - Felicia Plummer

- **Healthy Howard County Presentation**
  - County Executive Ken Ulman

- **American Cancer Society Legislation**
  - Bonita Pennino
  - Tracy Orwig

- **Cigarette Restitution Fund Update**
  - Dr. Carlessia Hussein
  - Donna Gugel
  - Joan Stine

- **Committee Reports**
  - Cancer Registry Advisory
    - Dr. Katherine Farrell
  - Legislative
    - Dr. Mary Leach

- **Other Business**
  - Group
Appendix C

2007 State Council on Cancer Control 
Legislative Positions Chart
### HOUSE BILLS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill #</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sponsor (s)</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>House Action</th>
<th>Senate Action</th>
<th>Enacted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HB 57</td>
<td>Pediatric Cancer Molecular Database Research Grant - Candlelighters Childhood Cancer Foundation</td>
<td>Delegate Montgomery</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Requiring the annual budget bill to include a specified amount of appropriations for the Pediatric Cancer Molecular Database Research Grant; authorizing the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene to distribute a grant to the Candlelighters Childhood Cancer Foundation for the establishment of a specified database;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Making the prohibition against smoking in specified areas inapplicable in Baltimore County to a specified open-air structure of a bar or restaurant, even when the structure is enclosed on all sides or protected by temporary covering.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill #</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Sponsor (s)</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>House Action</td>
<td>Senate Action</td>
<td>Enacted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB 1095</td>
<td>Cigarette Restitution Fund - Tobacco Prevention – Funding</td>
<td>Delegates Rosenberg, et al.</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Unfavorable Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Altering the amount the Governor is required to include in the annual budget for specified fiscal years for specified activities aimed at reducing tobacco use; requiring that specified tobacco tax revenues be distributed to the Cigarette Restitution Fund for specified purposes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB 1358</td>
<td>Artificial Tanning Devices – Protection of Minors</td>
<td>Delegate Healey, et al.</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Passed House 125-14</td>
<td>Passed Senate 34-13</td>
<td>Signed by Governor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prohibiting owners, employees, and operators of tanning facilities from allowing a minor to use a tanning device unless the minor has a prescription from a physician or is accompanied by a parent or guardian; requiring owners, employees, and operators of tanning facilities to require documentation before allowing individuals access to tanning facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prohibiting the driver of a motor vehicle from smoking or allowing a passenger to smoke a tobacco product in a motor vehicle in which a person who is under 6 years old is a passenger</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SENATE BILLS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill #</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sponsor (s)</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>House Action</th>
<th>Senate Action</th>
<th>Enacted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SB 513</td>
<td>Cigarette Restitution Fund - Tobacco Prevention – Funding</td>
<td>Senator McFadden, et al.</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Heard 3/15 – No Action</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibiting the driver of a motor vehicle from smoking or allowing a passenger to smoke a tobacco product in a motor vehicle in which a person who is under 6 years old is a passenger</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SB 829</th>
<th>Baltimore County - Smoking Ban - Exception for Open-Air Structures with Temporary Covering</th>
<th>Senator Brochin, et al.</th>
<th>NP</th>
<th>Heard 3/18 – No Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Making the prohibition against smoking in specified areas inapplicable in Baltimore County to a specified open-air structure of a bar or restaurant, even when the structure is enclosed on all sides or protected by temporary covering.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Cancer Conference Materials
Cancer Issues and Challenges
The 15th Maryland State Council on Cancer Control Conference

November 12, 2008
Cancer Issues and Challenges
The 15th Maryland State Council on Cancer Control Conference
November 12, 2008

7:45 – 8:45 Registration and Continental Breakfast

8:45 – 9:00 Call to Order, Welcome and Remarks
• John M. Colmers, Secretary, Maryland Dept. of Health & Mental Hygiene
• Kathy Helzlsouer, MD Chair, Maryland State Council on Cancer Control

9:00 – 9:30 Diet and Cancer: Examining the Link
• Arthur Schatzkin, MD, DrPH, National Cancer Institute

9:30 – 10:00 Childhood Obesity: Lifelong Risk Factor
• Charlotte A. Pratt, PhD, MS, RD, National Institutes of Health

10:00 – 11:00 Best Practices in Reducing Dietary Risk Factors
• Chef Tony Geraci, Baltimore City Public School System
• Kay Morris, Marathon Kids, Inc.

11:00 – 11:10 Morning Break

11:15 – 12:00 Emerging Issues in Cancer Control: The Cancer Center Perspective
• Kevin Cullen, MD, Marlene and Stuart Greenebaum Cancer Center, University of Maryland Medical Center
• William Nelson, MD, Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center, Johns Hopkins Hospital

12:00 – 1:15 Networking Lunch and Award Presentation

1:15 – 3:15 Survivorship and the Family
• Kathy Helzlsouer, MD, MHS Mercy Medical Center
• Julianne Oktay, PhD, MSW, University of Maryland School of Social Work

3:15 – 3:30 Summation and Adjournment
Cancer Conference 2008 Evaluation Summary

Continuing Education Credits
Total distributed/awarded  114
CME  51
CHES  17
Social Work  23
Certificate of Attendance  23

CONFERENCE EVALUATION FORMS
Attendees who submitted evaluation forms  299

Breakdown in Attendee Designation n percentage
Local Health Department Staff 61 20.4%
Health Education/Community Outreach 57 19.1%
Healthcare Provider 49 16.4%
Other 41 13.7%
DHMH 34 11.4%
Member of Local Government 16 5.5%
Blank 13 4.3%
Administrator 10 3.3%
Student 8 2.7%
Researcher 7 2.3%
Cancer Council Member 3 1.0%

CONFERENCE EVALUATION
Learning Objectives
1. Identify the scientific connection between dietary intake and cancer incidence
   Strongly Agree  131 – 44%
   Agree  131 – 44%
   Neutral  19 – 6%
   Disagree 2 – 0.7%
   Strongly Disagree 1 – 0.3%
   Blank  15 – 5%

2. Discuss the impact of childhood obesity and its lasting contribution to cancer risk factors
   Strongly Agree  147 – 49%
   Agree  129 – 43%
   Neutral 11 – 4%
   Disagree  1 – 0.3%
   Strongly Disagree 1 – 0.3%
   Blank  10 – 3%

3. Provide information concerning best practices to limit the dietary risk factors associated with cancer in Maryland
   Strongly Agree  126 – 42%
   Agree  125 – 42%
   Neutral  35 – 12%
   Disagree  1 – 0.3%
   Strongly Disagree 1 – 0.3%
   Blank  11 – 4%
4. Identify the emerging issues and potential obstacles to effective cancer control in Maryland
   Strongly Agree 104 – 35%
   Agree 127 – 43%
   Neutral 42 – 14%
   Disagree 4 – 1%
   Strongly Disagree 1 – 0.3%
   Blank 21 – 7%

5. Recognize the potential psychosocial impact that cancer diagnosis, treatment and survivorship has on the patient and family
   Strongly Agree 128 – 43%
   Agree 113 – 38%
   Neutral 21 – 7%
   Disagree 1 – 0.3%
   Strongly Disagree 1 – 0.3%
   Blank 35 – 12%

6. Improve the network of health professionals interested in education and reform in cancer control in Maryland
   Strongly Agree 86 – 29%
   Agree 105 – 35%
   Neutral 49 – 16%
   Disagree 8 – 3%
   Strongly Disagree 4 – 1%
   Blank 47 – 16%

Presenters’ Evaluation
KEY: SD – Strongly Disagree; D – Disagree; N – Neutral; A – Agree; SA – Strongly Agree; B – Blank

Subject Area Knowledge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schatzkin</td>
<td>220 – 74%</td>
<td>54 – 18%</td>
<td>3 – 1%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>14 – 5%</td>
<td>183 – 61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pratt</td>
<td>174 – 72%</td>
<td>89 – 30%</td>
<td>12 – 4%</td>
<td>4 – 1%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>235 – 79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geraci</td>
<td>262 – 88%</td>
<td>17 – 6%</td>
<td>2 – 0.7%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>177 – 59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>238 – 80%</td>
<td>36 – 12%</td>
<td>1 – 0.3%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>209 – 70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cullen</td>
<td>183 – 61%</td>
<td>54 – 18%</td>
<td>3 – 1%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>14 – 5%</td>
<td>183 – 61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson</td>
<td>235 – 79%</td>
<td>89 – 30%</td>
<td>12 – 4%</td>
<td>4 – 1%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>235 – 79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oktay</td>
<td>262 – 88%</td>
<td>17 – 6%</td>
<td>2 – 0.7%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>177 – 59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helzlsouer</td>
<td>238 – 80%</td>
<td>36 – 12%</td>
<td>1 – 0.3%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>209 – 70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effective Presentation Style

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schatzkin</td>
<td>112 – 37%</td>
<td>33 – 11%</td>
<td>7 – 2%</td>
<td>67 – 22%</td>
<td>28 – 9%</td>
<td>112 – 37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pratt</td>
<td>67 – 22%</td>
<td>124 – 41%</td>
<td>21 – 7%</td>
<td>253 – 85%</td>
<td>9 – 3%</td>
<td>67 – 22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geraci</td>
<td>253 – 85%</td>
<td>23 – 8%</td>
<td>1 – 0.3%</td>
<td>224 – 75%</td>
<td>52 – 17%</td>
<td>253 – 85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>224 – 75%</td>
<td>47 – 16%</td>
<td>3 – 1%</td>
<td>112 – 37%</td>
<td>224 – 75%</td>
<td>224 – 75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cullen</td>
<td>Nelson</td>
<td>Oktay</td>
<td>Helzlsouer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SA</strong></td>
<td>120 – 40%</td>
<td>195 – 65%</td>
<td>68 – 23%</td>
<td>147 – 49%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td>79 – 26%</td>
<td>69 – 23%</td>
<td>83 – 28%</td>
<td>79 – 26%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
<td>20 – 7%</td>
<td>8 – 5%</td>
<td>60 – 20%</td>
<td>16 – 5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D</strong></td>
<td>2 – 0.7%</td>
<td>0 – 1%</td>
<td>27 – 9%</td>
<td>3 – 1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SD</strong></td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0.9%</td>
<td>3 – 1%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong></td>
<td>76 – 25%</td>
<td>27 – 9%</td>
<td>58 – 19%</td>
<td>53 – 18%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Appropriate Teaching Strategies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Schatzkin</th>
<th>Pratt</th>
<th>Geraci</th>
<th>Morris</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SA</strong></td>
<td>112 – 37%</td>
<td>79 – 26%</td>
<td>231 – 77%</td>
<td>222 – 31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td>121 – 40%</td>
<td>127 – 42%</td>
<td>39 – 13%</td>
<td>45 – 34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
<td>37 – 12%</td>
<td>55 – 18%</td>
<td>8 – 3%</td>
<td>8 – 14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D</strong></td>
<td>7 – 2%</td>
<td>16 – 5%</td>
<td>1 – 0.3%</td>
<td>0 – 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SD</strong></td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong></td>
<td>22 – 7%</td>
<td>22 – 7%</td>
<td>20 – 7%</td>
<td>24 – 8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Presented Clearly and Concisely**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Schatzkin</th>
<th>Pratt</th>
<th>Geraci</th>
<th>Morris</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SA</strong></td>
<td>132 – 44%</td>
<td>93 – 31%</td>
<td>250 – 84%</td>
<td>234 – 78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td>105 – 35%</td>
<td>120 – 40%</td>
<td>27 – 9%</td>
<td>38 – 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
<td>35 – 12%</td>
<td>54 – 18%</td>
<td>4 – 1%</td>
<td>3 – 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D</strong></td>
<td>2 – 0.7%</td>
<td>22 – 7%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SD</strong></td>
<td>2 – 0.7%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong></td>
<td>23 – 8%</td>
<td>20 – 7%</td>
<td>18 – 6%</td>
<td>24 – 8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Presentation was Informative and Useful**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Schatzkin</th>
<th>Pratt</th>
<th>Geraci</th>
<th>Morris</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SA</strong></td>
<td>149 – 50%</td>
<td>116 – 39%</td>
<td>243 – 81%</td>
<td>228 – 76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td>99 – 33%</td>
<td>126 – 42%</td>
<td>30 – 10%</td>
<td>38 – 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
<td>27 – 9%</td>
<td>28 – 9%</td>
<td>7 – 2%</td>
<td>8 – 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D</strong></td>
<td>1 – 0.3%</td>
<td>8 – 3%</td>
<td>1 – 0.3%</td>
<td>2 – 0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SD</strong></td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong></td>
<td>23 – 8%</td>
<td>21 – 7%</td>
<td>18 – 5%</td>
<td>23 – 8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Facility Evaluation

1. Facilities were conducive to learning
   - Strongly Agree: 115 – 38%
   - Agree: 125 – 42%
   - Neutral: 35 – 12%
   - Disagree: 9 – 3%
   - Strongly Disagree: 3 – 1%
   - Blank: 12 – 4%

2. Content was relevant to the objectives
   - Strongly Agree: 158 – 53%
   - Agree: 145 – 48%
   - Neutral: 12 – 4%
   - Disagree: 1 – 0.3%
   - Strongly Disagree: 1 – 0.3%
   - Blank: 12 – 4%

3. Content was consistent with stated program objectives/goals
   - Strongly Agree: 159 – 53%
   - Agree: 115 – 38%
   - Neutral: 10 – 3%
   - Disagree: 1 – 0.3%
   - Strongly Disagree: 1 – 0.3%
   - Blank: 13 – 4%

4. Teaching methods were effective for the content
   - Strongly Agree: 123 – 41%
   - Agree: 138 – 46%
   - Neutral: 21 – 7%
   - Disagree: 2 – 0.7%
   - Strongly Disagree: 1 – 0.3%
   - Blank: 14 – 5%

5. Audiovisual handout materials were effective
   - Strongly Agree: 102 – 34%
   - Agree: 119 – 40%
   - Neutral: 37 – 12%
   - Disagree: 19 – 6%
   - Strongly Disagree: 8 – 3%
   - Blank: 14 – 5%

Overall evaluation of program

Quality

1. Quality of Conference
   - Excellent: 127 – 42%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Blank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>147 – 49%</td>
<td>5 – 2%</td>
<td>2 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>18 – 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>149 – 50%</td>
<td>18 – 6%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>24 – 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>5 – 2%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
<td>4 – 1%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>2 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>18 – 6%</td>
<td>24 – 8%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Quality of Exhibitors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Blank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>102 – 34%</td>
<td>18 – 6%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>24 – 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>149 – 50%</td>
<td>18 – 6%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>24 – 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>18 – 6%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
<td>4 – 1%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
<td>4 – 1%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
<td>0 – 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>24 – 8%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conference Facilities

1. Accessibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Blank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>146 – 49%</td>
<td>140 – 47%</td>
<td>126 – 42%</td>
<td>124 – 41%</td>
<td>15 – 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>126 – 42%</td>
<td>140 – 47%</td>
<td>126 – 42%</td>
<td>124 – 41%</td>
<td>15 – 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>124 – 41%</td>
<td>126 – 42%</td>
<td>126 – 42%</td>
<td>124 – 41%</td>
<td>15 – 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>126 – 42%</td>
<td>140 – 47%</td>
<td>126 – 42%</td>
<td>124 – 41%</td>
<td>15 – 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>124 – 41%</td>
<td>126 – 42%</td>
<td>126 – 42%</td>
<td>124 – 41%</td>
<td>15 – 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>15 – 5%</td>
<td>15 – 5%</td>
<td>15 – 5%</td>
<td>15 – 5%</td>
<td>15 – 5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Convenient Location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Blank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>31 – 10%</td>
<td>44 – 15%</td>
<td>60 – 20%</td>
<td>60 – 20%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>60 – 20%</td>
<td>44 – 15%</td>
<td>60 – 20%</td>
<td>60 – 20%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>44 – 15%</td>
<td>60 – 20%</td>
<td>60 – 20%</td>
<td>60 – 20%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>60 – 20%</td>
<td>44 – 15%</td>
<td>60 – 20%</td>
<td>60 – 20%</td>
<td>6 – 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>71 – 24%</td>
<td>71 – 24%</td>
<td>71 – 24%</td>
<td>71 – 24%</td>
<td>71 – 24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>33 – 11%</td>
<td>33 – 11%</td>
<td>33 – 11%</td>
<td>33 – 11%</td>
<td>33 – 11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Luncheon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Blank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>125 – 42%</td>
<td>139 – 46%</td>
<td>125 – 42%</td>
<td>139 – 46%</td>
<td>139 – 46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>139 – 46%</td>
<td>125 – 42%</td>
<td>139 – 46%</td>
<td>125 – 42%</td>
<td>139 – 46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>125 – 42%</td>
<td>139 – 46%</td>
<td>125 – 42%</td>
<td>139 – 46%</td>
<td>139 – 46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>139 – 46%</td>
<td>125 – 42%</td>
<td>139 – 46%</td>
<td>125 – 42%</td>
<td>139 – 46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>125 – 42%</td>
<td>139 – 46%</td>
<td>125 – 42%</td>
<td>139 – 46%</td>
<td>139 – 46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>125 – 42%</td>
<td>139 – 46%</td>
<td>125 – 42%</td>
<td>139 – 46%</td>
<td>139 – 46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Time of Event

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Blank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>79 – 26%</td>
<td>79 – 26%</td>
<td>79 – 26%</td>
<td>79 – 26%</td>
<td>79 – 26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>118 – 39%</td>
<td>118 – 39%</td>
<td>118 – 39%</td>
<td>118 – 39%</td>
<td>118 – 39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>46 – 15%</td>
<td>46 – 15%</td>
<td>46 – 15%</td>
<td>46 – 15%</td>
<td>46 – 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>28 – 9%</td>
<td>28 – 9%</td>
<td>28 – 9%</td>
<td>28 – 9%</td>
<td>28 – 9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>19 – 6%</td>
<td>19 – 6%</td>
<td>19 – 6%</td>
<td>19 – 6%</td>
<td>19 – 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>9 – 3%</td>
<td>9 – 3%</td>
<td>9 – 3%</td>
<td>9 – 3%</td>
<td>9 – 3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>