

# Qualitative Analysis of Beliefs and Perceptions about Sudden Infant Death Syndrome in African-American Mothers: Implications for Safe Sleep Recommendations

Rachel Y. Moon, MD, Rosalind P. Oden, Brandi L. Joyner, BS, and Taiwo I. Ajao, MPH

**Objective** To investigate, by using qualitative methods, perceptions about sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) in African-American parents and how these influence decisions.

**Study design** Eighty-three mothers participated in focus groups or individual interviews. Interviews probed reasons for decisions about infant sleep environment and influences affecting these decisions. Data were coded, and themes were developed and revised in an iterative manner as patterns became more apparent.

**Results** Themes included lack of plausibility, randomness, and vigilance. Many mothers believed that the link between SIDS and sleep position was implausible. Because the cause of SIDS was unknown, they did not understand how certain behaviors could be defined as risk factors. This confusion was reinforced by perceived inconsistency in the recommendations. Most mothers believed that SIDS occurred randomly (“God’s will”) and that the only way to prevent it was vigilance.

**Conclusions** Many African-American mothers may not understand the connection between SIDS and sleep behaviors or believe that behavior (other than vigilance) cannot affect risk. These beliefs, if acted on, may affect rates of safe sleep practices. Efforts to explain a plausible link between SIDS and safe sleep recommendations and to improve consistency of the message may result in increased adherence to these recommendations. (*J Pediatr* 2010;157:92-7).

Despite the 50% decline in the incidence of sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) in the United States since the first American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) recommendations for infant sleep position in 1992,<sup>1</sup> African-American infants remain twice as likely to die from SIDS as Caucasian infants.<sup>2</sup> This racial disparity exists across all educational and income categories, and the extent of the racial disparity has increased,<sup>3</sup> despite efforts by the Back to Sleep campaign to target African-American families with safe sleep recommendations. These disparities can be partially attributed to behavioral factors. African-American parents place their infants prone for sleep at approximately double the rates of other racial/ethnic groups,<sup>4</sup> and the latest (2008) data from the National Infant Sleep Position survey demonstrate that the prevalence of African-American infants placed prone may be increasing.<sup>5</sup> African-American infants are also more likely to bedshare<sup>4,6,7</sup> and to experience a bed-sharing death<sup>8</sup> than non-African-American infants. Several studies have suggested an increased risk for sudden unexpected infant death when infants bedshare on adult beds, particularly in the presence of parental smoking.<sup>9-11</sup> Currently, approximately half of all sudden and unexpected infant deaths in the United States occur when the infant is sharing a sleep surface with someone else,<sup>12-14</sup> and the rates of these deaths are increasing.<sup>15</sup>

Very little is known about how parents perceive SIDS and how these beliefs and perceptions may impact their decisions to adhere to safe infant sleep recommendations. To better understand parental decisions and because of the racial disparity seen in both SIDS rates and SIDS risk reduction behaviors,<sup>3,4,6,7,16</sup> we conducted a qualitative study of African-American parents. Few earlier qualitative studies have investigated factors influencing parental decisions about sleep position and bedsharing in low-income, largely African-American families<sup>17-20</sup>; no studies have included higher income families, and none have studied parental beliefs and perceptions about SIDS.

## Methods

We conducted a qualitative study, using both focus group interviews and individual in-depth semi-structured interviews with African-American parents, to examine parental beliefs and perceptions about SIDS. We used 2 different

From the Goldberg Center for Community Pediatric Health, Children’s National Medical Center, Washington, DC (R.M., R.O., B.J., T.A.); and Department of Pediatrics, George Washington University School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Washington, DC (R.M.)

Supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (K24RR23681-01A1 and MD000165-03) and Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (1R03HS016892-01A1). The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

0022-3476/\$ - see front matter. Copyright © 2010 Mosby Inc. All rights reserved. 10.1016/j.jpeds.2010.01.027

AAP	American Academy of Pediatrics
SES	Socioeconomic status
SIDS	Sudden infant death syndrome
WIC	The Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children

qualitative interview formats to obtain a wide range of possible responses. The focus group format encourages participants who might be reticent in a 1-on-1 interview to participate in a group of people with similar backgrounds<sup>21</sup>; however, socially sensitive topics might be potentially more likely to be mentioned by participants in an individual interview.<sup>22</sup> The institutional review boards at Children's National Medical Center, MedStar Research Institute, and Holy Cross Hospital approved this study.

We enrolled a cross-sectional sample of African-American families with infants 0 to 6 months of age in Washington, DC, and Maryland. We intentionally recruited families with a broad range of socioeconomic status (SES) to assure the widest possible range of experience, influences, and attitudes. SES was determined with parental educational level, Medicaid eligibility, and eligibility for the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC). Eligibility for Medicaid and WIC, which is easily verifiable and does not rely on self-report, was used as proxies for family income.

Families were enrolled from newborn nurseries, urban pediatric primary care centers, WIC sites, private pediatric practices, advertisements in newsletters, and on-hold messages played during calls to Children's National Medical Center. Parents who were >18 years old with children <6 months old were eligible to participate when they self-identified as African-American and when both their parents (ie, the infant's grandparents) were born in the United States. This criterion was designed to be highly specific to minimize cultural heterogeneity. A parent was also excluded when s/he was not the custodial parent of the child, the infant had a chronic illness that might preclude use of the supine sleep position (eg, recent spinal surgery), or the infant was born prematurely (gestational age <36 weeks).

After written informed consent was obtained, qualified and interested parents participated in a 15-minute staff-administered validated quantitative survey that asked about their knowledge, attitudes, and practices of infant care and sleep environment and family demographics. We selected a purposeful sample<sup>23</sup> of parents, whom we predicted, from their survey responses, would have wide-ranging attitudes and opinions, to participate in focus groups or individual interviews. For instance, we selected parents whose knowledge and behavior about SIDS risk reduction were discrepant and parents who were consistently following the safe sleep recommendations. Parents were asked to participate in either a focus group or an individual interview. Focus groups were stratified by SES and other demographic variables, because homogeneity of group participants increases the comfort level of the individual participants, resulting in increased willingness to share thoughts and opinions.<sup>24</sup> Interview questions were developed in group meetings by all authors, and an interview guide with the same questions was used for both interview formats. All interviews were conducted by trained facilitators (R.O., B.L.). In both the focus groups and individual interviews, broad, open-ended questions were followed by more specific, probing questions to clarify responses. In addition to questions about sleep practices, we asked questions

specifically probing parental beliefs and perceptions about SIDS (**Table I**; available at [www.jpeds.com](http://www.jpeds.com)). Focus group interviews averaged 2 hours in duration, and individual interviews averaged 90 minutes in duration. Each focus group and individual interview participant received a \$75 gift card for participation.

We anticipated that a minimum of 10 focus groups and 10 individual interviews would be conducted, because we assumed that 3 to 4 semi-structured interviews and 3 to 4 focus groups with any 1 type of participant would be necessary<sup>24</sup> to allow for thematic saturation (the point at which no new themes are emerging) and for analysis across groups for themes and patterns.

## Data Analysis

All qualitative interviews were video- and audio-recorded and transcribed by the authors. After initial transcription, the transcript was checked by a second author for accuracy. Subsequently, a third author (R.M.) simultaneously reviewed the video- and audio-recordings and transcript of each interview. When there was disagreement about the transcription, all authors listened to the recordings to reach consensus. This multi-step process was used to maximize accuracy and eliminate bias from the transcription process. Transcripts were analyzed line-by-line, with standard qualitative analytic techniques. Qualitative analysis software (NVivo 7, formerly known as NUD\*IST [QSR International, Melbourne, Australia])<sup>25</sup> was used to organize, sort, and code the data (quotations). Themes were developed and revised in an iterative manner, as patterns within data became more apparent.<sup>26</sup> Authors met regularly to discuss emerging themes and patterns in the data and to reach consensus on the major themes. Individual and focus group interviews were analyzed separately, followed by a comparison of emerging themes. Concurrent triangulation, or use of multiple sources for verification of findings,<sup>27</sup> of the focus group interviews and the individual interviews was used to corroborate findings.<sup>28</sup> Additionally, we confirmed findings through peer review and feedback during presentations to community groups, pediatric and SIDS researchers, and maternal and child health professionals.

## Results

We conducted 13 focus groups and 10 individual interviews between July 2006 and December 2008. All participants were mothers. A total of 73 mothers (47 lower SES, 26 upper SES) participated in the focus groups, and 10 mothers (7 lower SES, 3 upper SES) in individual interviews. There were, on average, 4.9 participants (range, 3-7) at each focus group. The mean infant age was 2.6 months (range, 0.5-6.0 months) at the time of the initial quantitative survey and 5.4 months (range, 1.1-9.3 months) at the time of the focus group or individual interview. Other participant characteristics are described in **Table II**. When demographic characteristics of participants were compared with those of parents who did not participate in focus groups or individual interviews, there were no statistical differences in mean maternal or

**Table II.** Characteristics of focus group and individual interview participants (n = 83)

Characteristic	n (%)
<b>Maternal age (mean age, 27.4 years; range, 18-42)</b>	
18-24 years	27 (32.5%)
25-29 years	27 (32.5%)
30-34 years	17 (20.4%)
≥35 years	11 (13.3%)
Did not answer	1 (1.2%)
<b>Maternal marital status</b>	
Never married	62 (74.7%)
Married	20 (24.1%)
Divorced/separated	1 (1.2%)
<b>Maternal education</b>	
Did not complete high school	9 (10.8%)
Completed high school or GED	54 (65.1%)
Completed 4-year college	20 (24.1%)
<b>Infant sex</b>	
Female	39 (47.0%)
Male	44 (53.0%)
<b>Older children in home</b>	
No	28 (33.7%)
Yes	55 (66.3%)
<b>Other parent in home</b>	
No	35 (42.2%)
Yes	48 (57.8%)
<b>Senior caregiver in home</b>	
No	59 (71.1%)
Yes	24 (28.9%)
<b>Maternal smoking</b>	
No	76 (91.6%)
Yes	7 (8.4%)
<b>Breastfeeding</b>	
Never breastfed	28 (33.7%)
Started breastfeeding but stopped	24 (28.9%)
Still breastfeeding (partially) at time of initial survey	16 (19.3%)
Still breastfeeding (exclusively) at time of initial survey	15 (18.1%)
<b>Pacifier use</b>	
No	23 (27.7%)
Yes	60 (62.3%)
<b>Roomsharing (parent-infant) night before initial survey</b>	
No	8 (9.6%)
Yes	75 (90.4%)
<b>Stated infant sleep position night before initial survey</b>	
Supine	52 (62.6%)
Supine/side	3 (3.6%)
Side	14 (16.9%)
Prone	14 (16.9%)
<b>Bedsharing (parent-infant) night before initial survey</b>	
No	58 (69.9%)
Bedsharing for part of night	13 (15.7%)
Bedsharing for entire night	12 (14.4%)
<b>Medical insurance status</b>	
Medicaid	55 (66.3%)
Commercial insurance	28 (33.7%)

infant age, maternal marital status, Medicaid status, infant sex, or presence of other children, other parent, or senior caregiver in the home. Furthermore, there were no statistical differences in the 2 groups for pacifier use, breastfeeding, roomsharing without bedsharing, bedsharing, or sleep position.

The 3 major themes about understanding of SIDS were lack of plausibility, randomness, and vigilance. Although qualitative analysis does not allow for quantitative stratification of the themes by SES or by interview format, we found that, with the exception of vigilance (which was a more prominent theme in interviews with mothers of low SES),

the themes were consistent in all the interviews. These themes are described, with illustrative verbatim comments in accompanying [Tables III, IV, and V](#) (available at [www.jpeds.com](http://www.jpeds.com)).

### Lack of Plausibility (Table III)

Many mothers did not understand the connection between sleep position and SIDS and did not see this link as being a plausible one. Particularly because the cause of SIDS is “when you don’t know why the baby died,” they did not understand how one could say that a particular sleep position can protect against an entity for which the cause is unknown (quotations 1, 2). Furthermore, many mothers described the concept of supine sleeping as the safest position to be counterintuitive. Many mothers perceived prone positioning to be the safest for infants, because they believed it to be the position that is most protective against aspiration and choking when the infant vomits or spits up (quotation 3).

Several mothers did not understand how any risk factors or protective factors could be defined for an entity that is not explained. Therefore, statements from health care professionals, media, or manufacturers that specific behaviors or products could help reduce the risk for SIDS were viewed skeptically. Mothers felt that they needed proof or a guarantee before they would believe that a behavior (eg, supine sleep position) or a commercial product would reduce SIDS risk (quotations 4, 5).

Furthermore, a number of participants believed risk reduction to be an absolute concept: you could either entirely eliminate the risk, or you could not eliminate the risk at all. Most of the mothers were aware of families who had lost an infant to SIDS. Some of these infants were lying supine when found dead. Because of these real-life examples, these mothers were skeptical that sleeping supine would protect their infant from SIDS (quotations, 6, 7).

This skepticism was reinforced by the perception that there has been frequent change in the recommendations. Mothers who perceived that the recommendations were always changing were more likely to discount the importance of the recommendations, consider the current advice as a fad, and speculate that the recommendations would likely change again in the near future (quotation 8). This uncertainty was also exacerbated when the behavior of medical and health care professionals was inconsistent with the safe sleep recommendations. Seeing or hearing of a health care professional not following the AAP recommendations sent a clear message to mothers that these recommendations were unimportant (quotations 9, 10).

Many of the mothers in this study stated that they would have been more likely to adhere to safe sleep recommendations if they had believed that there was a plausible explanation for the recommendations. Many of them thought that prone sleeping and soft bedding could increase the possibility of suffocation (quotations 11, 12). Those who had not previously considered this possibility agreed that they would have been more likely to use back positioning had they known about that possibility. In addition, when the concept of

re-breathing exhaled carbon dioxide as a potential mechanism for SIDS<sup>29</sup> was explained in several of the focus groups, mothers believed that to be a plausible explanation for how sleep position and SIDS may be linked (quotations 13).

### Randomness (Table IV)

Most mothers in this study believed that SIDS occurs randomly and that there was nothing one could do to decrease risk. In contrast, many viewed suffocation as a preventable cause of death (quotations 1-3). Much of this seemed to be derived from the mothers' belief that, should SIDS occur, it would be "God's will." Therefore, most of the mothers believed that their responsibility was to make the best decisions they could for their infant on a day-to-day basis, assuring that the infant's needs for comfort, longer sleep, and happiness were met (quotations 4, 5).

Most mothers admitted to worrying about the possibility of SIDS, but it was a concern that was most prominent in the first few days of the infant's life. As mothers became more comfortable with caring for their infants, they became less worried about the possibility of SIDS. Other concerns, such as the infant sleeping better, became more pressing than the concern for SIDS (quotation 6).

### Vigilance (Table V)

Mothers, particularly those in the lower SES groups, consistently believed that their own vigilance was the most important factor in preventing SIDS. Sleep position, sleep location, and other sleep behaviors were considered irrelevant as long as the parent was next to and closely watching the infant (quotations 1, 2). Indeed, some mothers placed their infant in bed or on a couch next to them in the belief that they could best protect their infant when the infant was near (quotations 3, 4). Some mothers believed that increased parental vigilance was responsible for the decreased rate of SIDS in other racial/ethnic groups and suspected that lack of vigilance was largely responsible for the racial disparity in SIDS (quotation 5).

## Discussion

Although a few earlier studies have used qualitative methods to understand motivations behind parental decisions for safe infant sleep practices,<sup>17-20</sup> we have investigated parental beliefs and perceptions about SIDS itself. Our findings that the African-American mothers in our cohort perceive the link between risk factors and SIDS to be implausible, SIDS to be a random, unpreventable occurrence, and parental vigilance to be the key to SIDS prevention build on the findings of earlier studies. Our findings also appear to indicate that these beliefs extend to families of higher SES. Because the racial disparity in SIDS is present at all socioeconomic and educational levels, these findings may help explain why the Back to Sleep campaign has been less successful in African-American families and may provide a framework for more effectively eliciting behavior change.

Responses from our interviews suggest that the Back to Sleep campaign's difficulty in gaining acceptance in African-American parents has been exacerbated by the inconsistencies in the safe sleep recommendations. When parents observe health care providers placing infants prone or perceive that their health care provider does not endorse safe sleep recommendations, the importance of adhering to these recommendations is greatly diminished. In addition, the changing emphasis in the AAP recommendations on the importance of supine sleeping as the preferred position<sup>30-32</sup> has inadvertently given the impression of an inconsistent message and has confused parents.<sup>19</sup> Finally, many parents<sup>33</sup> and health care providers<sup>34,35</sup> continue to believe that infants are more likely to asphyxiate when they are lying supine, despite evidence to the contrary,<sup>36-38</sup> and therefore are skeptical that the current recommendation for back positioning will keep infants safe. This concern has been noted to be more pressing for African-American parents<sup>19</sup> and caregivers.<sup>39</sup> Education for caregivers must go beyond distribution of the guidelines. Our results and those of other studies suggest that parents would welcome detailed explanations for why supine position is safest and addressing concerns about aspiration.<sup>18,19</sup> It is possible that parents would be more likely to view safe sleep recommendations as important and follow them if their questions and concerns about the recommendations were addressed. Otherwise, it seems more likely that immediate needs of the infant and family, such as the infant's comfort level or the parent's need to sleep, will take priority over SIDS risk reduction.<sup>17</sup>

SIDS is defined as the death of an infant for which no cause is found. Our study participants were well aware of this definition and understood that following safe sleep guidelines would not guarantee that SIDS would not occur. Unfortunately, when parents interpret this as meaning that their infant's SIDS risk will not be influenced by their decisions and behaviors about where and how their infant sleeps, they may be less likely to change sleep behavior to reduce SIDS risk. Indeed, the concept of risk reduction was not compelling for many parents; they did not see the usefulness in changing sleep behavior to reduce SIDS risk unless they could be assured that this change would provide a 100% guarantee that SIDS would not occur.

In contrast, suffocation was viewed as a plausible and preventable cause of sudden infant death, and parents in our cohort generally believed that they could prevent infant suffocation by actions such as removing blankets or pillows from their infants' cribs. Some parents stated that they would have been more likely to place their infants supine if they had been told that it could prevent rebreathing<sup>29</sup> or suffocation. Suffocation and asphyxia are causes of sudden, sleep-related infant deaths that are preventable. As the quality of death scene investigations has improved and become more consistent, there has been a diagnostic shift, such that many deaths that would have been coded as SIDS are now being coded as suffocation or asphyxia<sup>40</sup>; the rate of accidental suffocation and strangulation in bed for infants has quadrupled in recent years.<sup>15</sup> This increase in accidental deaths may

have implications for public health interventions; an emphasis on the preventability of these types of deaths may be more likely to result in behavior changes that could decrease the rates of all sudden, sleep-related infant deaths, including SIDS.

It is concerning that some parents, particularly those of low SES, in an effort to maintain constant vigilance over their infants, may inadvertently place the infant at increased risk for SIDS and other sleep-related deaths. Many parents in our study reported laying the infant for sleep in the bed or on the couch with them to watch the infant closely. This is consistent with the findings of other qualitative studies that have found that many parents will bedshare in the belief that this is the best way to keep their infant safe.<sup>17,18,20</sup> It is important that parents understand the risk of these practices and are given safe alternatives, such as roomsharing without bedsharing, that allow for parental vigilance without increasing risk to the infant.

This study population was limited to African-American mothers in the Washington, DC, area. In addition, although qualitative studies can provide a wide range of opinions, they cannot be used to define the prevalence of any particular attitude or opinion. Therefore, these results may not be generalizable to other racial/ethnic groups or other localities. However, our findings are largely consistent with other qualitative studies of largely African-American populations<sup>17,18,20</sup> and one study with African-American and Caucasian participants,<sup>19</sup> suggesting that many of the perceptions about sleep position and sleep location are widespread and continue to be held >15 years after the supine sleep position was first recommended. However, there may be cultural distinctions in viewpoints and concerns.<sup>19</sup> Therefore, it will be important to expand this study to other racial/ethnic groups and geographic areas to determine how prevalent these beliefs are in the society as a whole.

If Back to Sleep and other safe sleep recommendations are to be embraced by more African-American parents, a plausible link between the recommendations and SIDS or other sudden sleep-related deaths needs to be more clearly established for parents, so that parents believe that their actions can be effective in reducing the risk of these deaths. Additional guidance should be provided about roomsharing without bedsharing and other alternatives that will allow parents to maintain vigilance without endangering the infant. Finally, if parents are to perceive the messages as being credible and important, there needs to be consistency in the safe sleep messages that are provided by health care professionals and other sources of information for parents. ■

*Acknowledgments available at [www.jpeds.com](http://www.jpeds.com).*

Submitted for publication Oct 19, 2009; last revision received Dec 23, 2009; accepted Jan 15, 2010.

Reprint requests: Rachel Y. Moon, MD, Goldberg Center for Community Pediatric Health, Children's National Medical Center, 111 Michigan Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20010. E-mail: [rmoon@cnmc.org](mailto:rmoon@cnmc.org).

## References

- Kattwinkel J, Brooks J, Myerberg D. American Academy of Pediatrics AAP Task Force on Infant Positioning and SIDS: positioning and SIDS (erratum in: *Pediatrics* 1992;90(2 Pt 1):264). *Pediatrics* 1992;89:1120-6.
- Mathews TJ, MacDorman MF, Menacker F. Infant mortality statistics from the 1999 period linked birth/infant death data set. *Natl Vital Stat Rep* 2002;50:1-28.
- Muhuri PK, MacDorman MF, Ezzati-Rice TM. Racial differences in leading causes of infant death in the United States. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* 2004;18:51-60.
- Hauck FR, Moore CM, Herman SM, Donovan M, Kalelkar M, Christoffel KK, et al. The contribution of prone sleeping position to the racial disparity in sudden infant death syndrome: the Chicago Infant Mortality Study. *Pediatrics* 2002;110:772-80.
- National Infant Sleep Position survey database. Available at [http://dccwww.bumc.bu.edu/ChimeNisp/NISP\\_Data.asp](http://dccwww.bumc.bu.edu/ChimeNisp/NISP_Data.asp). Accessed February 22, 2010.
- Willinger M, Ko CW, Hoffman HJ, Kessler RC, Corwin MJ. Trends in infant bed sharing in the United States, 1993-2000: the National Infant Sleep Position study. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2003;157:43-9.
- Fu LY, Colson ER, Corwin MJ, Moon RY. Infant sleep location: associated maternal and infant characteristics with sudden infant death syndrome prevention recommendations. *J Pediatr* 2008;153:503-8.
- Unger B, Kemp JS, Wilkins D, Psara R, Ledbetter T, Graham M, et al. Racial disparity and modifiable risk factors among infants dying suddenly and unexpectedly. *Pediatrics* 2003;111:E127-31.
- Drago DA, Dannenberg AL. Infant mechanical suffocation deaths in the United States, 1980-1997. *Pediatrics* 1999;103. Available at <http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/103/5/e59>. Accessed February 22, 2010.
- Blair PS, Fleming PJ, Smith IJ, Platt MW, Young J, Nadin P, et al. Babies sleeping with parents: case-control study of factors influencing the risk of the sudden infant death syndrome. CESDI SUDI research group. *BMJ* 1999;319:1457-62.
- Nakamura S, Wind M, Danello MA. Review of hazards associated with children placed in adult beds. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 1999;153:1019-23.
- Alexander RT, Radisch D. Sudden infant death syndrome risk factors with regards to sleep position, sleep surface, and co-sleeping. *J Forensic Sci* 2005;50:147-51.
- Carroll-Pankhurst C, Mortimer EAJ. Sudden infant death syndrome, bedsharing, parental weight, and age at death. *Pediatrics* 2001;107:530-6.
- Kemp JS, Unger B, Wilkins D, Psara RM, Ledbetter TL, Graham MA, et al. Unsafe sleep practices and an analysis of bedsharing among infants dying suddenly and unexpectedly: results of a four-year, population-based, death-scene investigation study of sudden infant death syndrome and related deaths. *Pediatrics* 2000;106:E41.
- Shapiro-Mendoza CK, Kimball M, Tomashek KM, Anderson RN, Blanding S. US infant mortality trends attributable to accidental suffocation and strangulation in bed from 1984 through 2004: are rates increasing? *Pediatrics* 2009;123:533-9.
- Hoyert DL, Arias E, Smith BL, Murphy SL, Kochanek KD. Deaths: final data for 1999. *Natl Vital Stat Rep* 2001;49:1-113.
- Bettegowda V, Manzano K, Boyd L. Beliefs and practices regarding sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) risk reduction among African American mothers, fathers, and caregivers in New York City: summary of SIDS focus groups. New York: Bureau of Maternal, Infant and Reproductive Health, New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene; 2004.
- Colson ER, McCabe LK, Fox K, Levenson S, Colton T, Lister G, et al. Barriers to following the back-to-sleep recommendations: insights from focus groups with inner-city caregivers. *Ambulatory Pediatr* 2005;5:349-54.
- Mosley JM, Stokes SD, Ulmer A. Infant sleep position: discerning knowledge from practice. *Am J Health Behav* 2007;31:573-82.

20. Chianese J, Ploof D, Trovato C, Chang JC. Inner-city caregivers' perspectives on bed sharing with their infants. *Acad Pediatr* 2009;9:26-32.
21. Madriz E. Focus groups in feminist research. In: Denzin NK, Lincoln YS, editors. *Handbook of qualitative research*. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 2000. p. 835-50.
22. Kaplowitz MD. Statistical analysis of sensitive topics in group and individual interviews. *Qual Quantity* 2000;34:419-31.
23. Mays N, Pope C. Qualitative research in health care. Assessing quality in qualitative research. *BMJ* 2000;320:50-2.
24. Krueger RA, Casey MA. *Focus groups: a practical guide for applied research*. 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 2000.
25. NVivo 7. Melbourne, Australia: QSR International Pty Ltd; 2006.
26. Richards L, Morse JM. *Readme first for a user's guide to qualitative methods*. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 2007.
27. Denzin NK, Lincoln YS. *Strategies of qualitative inquiry*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 2003.
28. Creswell JW. *Research design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 2003.
29. Kemp JS. Rebreathing of exhaled gases: importance as a mechanism for the causal association between prone sleep and sudden infant death syndrome. *Sleep* 1996;19:S263-6.
30. Kattwinkel J, Brooks J, Keenan ME, Malloy MH. Positioning and sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS): update. American Academy of Pediatrics Task Force on Infant Positioning and SIDS. *Pediatrics* 1996;98:1216-8.
31. Kattwinkel J, Brooks J, Keenan ME, Malloy MH. Changing concepts of sudden infant death syndrome: implications for infant sleeping environment and sleep position. American Academy of Pediatrics Task Force on Infant Sleep Position and Sudden Infant Death Syndrome. *Pediatrics* 2000;105:650-6.
32. Kattwinkel J, Hauck FR, Keenan ME, Malloy MH, Moon RY. Task Force on Sudden Infant Death Syndrome, American Academy of Pediatrics. The changing concept of sudden infant death syndrome: diagnostic coding shifts, controversies regarding the sleeping environment, and new variables to consider in reducing risk. *Pediatrics* 2005;116:1245-55.
33. Moon RY, Omron R. Determinants of infant sleep position in an urban population. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)* 2002;41:569-73.
34. Bullock LF, Mickey K, Green J, Heine A. Are nurses acting as role models for the prevention of SIDS? *MCN Am J Matern Child Nurs* 2004;29:172-7.
35. Stastny PF, Ichinose TY, Thayer SD, Olson RJ, Keens TG. Infant sleep positioning by nursery staff and mothers in newborn hospital nurseries. *Nurs Res* 2004;53:122-9.
36. Malloy MH. Trends in postneonatal aspiration deaths and reclassification of sudden infant death syndrome: impact of the "Back to Sleep" program. *Pediatrics* 2002;109:661-5.
37. Tablizo MA, Jacinto P, Parsley D, Chen ML, Ramanathan R, Keens TG. Supine sleeping position does not cause clinical aspiration in neonates in hospital newborn nurseries. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2007;161:507-10.
38. Thach BT. Sudden infant death syndrome: can gastroesophageal reflux cause sudden infant death? *Am J Med* 2000;108(4A):144S-8S.
39. Moon RY, Calabrese T, Aird L. Reducing the risk of sudden infant death syndrome in child care and changing provider practices: lessons learned from a demonstration project. *Pediatrics* 2008;122:788-98.
40. Malloy MH, MacDorman M. Changes in the classification of sudden unexpected infant deaths: United States, 1992-2001. *Pediatrics* 2005;115:1247-53.

**Table I.** Questions about sudden infant death syndrome beliefs used in focus groups and individual in-depth interviews

1. Have you ever heard of SIDS?
2. What do you think SIDS is?
3. When did you first hear about SIDS?
4. Did you know that the risk of SIDS is higher in the African-American community than any other community?
5. Do you worry about SIDS happening to your baby?
6. Do you know anyone who has had a baby die suddenly?
7. What do you think causes SIDS?
8. Do you think that you can do anything to try to keep SIDS from happening? If so, what? If not, why not?
9. Do any of the SIDS recommendations have an impact on how you care for your baby?

**Table III.** Lack of plausibility

1. "But if it's no known reason, how can you say if I put my baby on his back that it will reduce the chance of SIDS? You know what I mean?"
2. "If they don't know why the babies died, why does it matter which way you laid your baby?"
3. "Because he could choke on his back... They could choke on their tongue, they could swallow their slobber, they're on their back. He could choke off his own spit-up, you know... to me it makes more sense that if they are on their stomach."
4. "How are you going to say (a product) guard(s) against it when you don't know why it happens? So, yeah I don't believe that."
5. "(For me to use the back position,) I need a guarantee, 100%. The whole thing, not half, the whole thing."
6. "Children sleeping on their back and they still pass. So who's to say that (sleeping on the back) is not the cause? They don't really know."
7. "If I know 100% all the children never died from SIDS when they laid on their back, that will convince me, but if you have one child or like 10% of the kids still on their back are not surviving on their back now, something is wrong or it's something else missing."
8. "Public health information ... every 10 years it's like the opposite. Five years from now they could say, 'Oh, we were wrong. Put them on their stomach. We were so wrong.'"
9. "Each pregnancy they always stress (that babies should sleep) on the back. But this last pregnancy, the nurse brought the baby back to my room, he was on his stomach... I thought it was odd that the nurse had placed the baby on his stomach... If you're stressing SIDS so much, why would you place him on his stomach?"
10. "And my pediatrician first told me she kept her daughter on her stomach, so... There's people who tell people to do these things, but they do the opposite."
11. "For suffocation, yes, (I would believe sleeping on the back is best). SIDS, they still don't know what causes it. That's why I said, not SIDS, but the fact of suffocation. They can suffocate if they sleep on their stomach."
12. "And the suffocation thing, with the pillows and things like that... I believe it's just common sense. You got an infant baby in the crib or a bassinet; you're not going to put all these decorative pillows in there. When it's time for them to go to bed, you take those pillows out."
13. "The re-breathing, now that to me... would aid in me advocating for putting her on her back a little more, for that reason. You know what I mean, because of the whole re-breathing."

**Table IV.** Randomness

1. "I believe that it's just something that just happens. You don't know when... or if it's going to happen to you... I don't believe that there is anything that probably I can do to avoid it."
2. "It's just like if it's your time to go, you know what I'm saying? It's nothing you can do, you know. So my other kids slept on their stomach, you know, and I never heard anything about SIDS then, and now it's like, what's the problem?"
3. "I don't really feel that it's anything that I can (do to) avoid it. If (SIDS) is going to happen, it's going to happen. And it don't have anything to do with the suffocation and things like that, which you can avoid, of course. But I believe even though you do everything right, something could still happen."
4. "I don't think anything's wrong with sleeping on the stomach... If anything was to happen to my baby, I would just feel like, because of my faith in God, I would just feel like, 'Ok, Lord, this is something that you allowed, so this is something that I have to accept.' And I don't think I would go through, 'Well, I should have done this,' or 'I shouldn't have.' I can't live my life that way in terms of 'I should have done it this way, I should have done it that way.' I just believe that... if it did happen, it was just time, it was what was supposed to happen to me in my life."
5. "SIDS occurs, and that's something that must have been meant to happen. I wouldn't blame myself. I just feel like I'm doing the best thing for my son and that whatever I feel comfortable with doing for him. Because you can't listen to everybody, you can't listen to statistics, and you have to do what's comfortable. As long as you know your baby, and know what your baby likes, and how he reacts to things, then I feel that's the best way. For me, the stomach; that's the best way."
6. "At first when they are newborns, don't get me wrong, I be scared to death... I put him right on the back like they tell you to do, but then after a while, once I get comfortable with the child, and real comfortable about him being home and me being adjusted to him and stuff like that, then I'll start doing my own little thing... I go by the rules at first, but then once I get back into the midst of caring for a child, then I, you know, like my son he sleeps on his stomach now. And... he sleeps real good on his stomach."

**Table V. Vigilance**

1. "That goes back to watching. Basically, to prevent SIDS just keep an eye out, you know. It all falls back to that, definitely... You can't just expect that they're not going to throw up, and if they're on the back, they might choke; if they're on the stomach, they might choke... Basically, SIDS is, you know, to prevent SIDS, whatever it is, just keep an eye watch on, you know, pray, and hope for the best for your baby."
2. "And, you know, also a lot of it deals with experience. I'm experienced (and know) that laying my daughter on her stomach is best. You know, I've kept careful watch over her and I didn't just haphazardly let her just sleep on her stomach. No, I was monitoring her constantly, and it takes that."
3. "My baby sleeps in the bed with me, because I can keep checking on him. All I've got to do is open my eyes and check on him. I'm right there beside him so I can tell whether he's breathing or not, you know."
4. "Of course we would always sit her right there. And I never put her in her crib on her stomach or anything like that. I never did that. It was just kind of always like on the couch, you know, if we be in the living room or something like that, and just looking over there at her or whatever, and she just slept."
5. "I still don't believe that being on their back is really changing anything. Maybe (parents from other racial/ethnic groups) are paying more attention to their child. Maybe they are seeing how their baby is reacting when they do this and when they do that, how they lay them... Like, I've seen friends that just lay their babies on the stomach and turn their head to the side, instead of monitoring their baby and making sure that the baby is comfortable like that... They leave them like that."

## Acknowledgments

*We would like to thank the following people for their assistance in facilitating study participant recruitment: Zacharia Cherian, MD, Sandra Cuzzi, MD, Benjamin Gitterman, MD, Myra Grissom, MD, Angela Hubbard, MD, Jayashri Janakiram, RD, and the staff at the WIC clinic at Children's National Medical Center, Lisa Rainey, MD, Danita Tucker-Powell, MD, Gwendolyn Youngblood, MD, and Ellie Hamburger, MD, and the staff at Children's Physicians and Associates- K Street. We would also like to thank Sybille Swanson, Marlene Lee, RN, Carmen Gill-Bailey, and Giuliana Geng for their assistance with focus groups. None of the aforementioned persons received any compensation for their contributions.*