INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE (IPV)
Intimate Partner Violence

Intimate Partner Violence (IPV) refers to behavior by a current or former intimate partner that causes physical, sexual or psychological harm, including physical aggression, sexual coercion, and psychological abuse and controlling behaviors. This type of violence can occur among heterosexual or same-sex couples and does not require sexual intimacy.1,2

HOW DOES IT AFFECT THE UNITED STATES?
• In 2013, 992 women and 245 men were killed by their intimate partner (current spouse, ex-spouse, or dating partner).3 These murders represent 37 percent of all female homicide victims and 3 percent of all male homicide victims.
• In 2010, 1 in 3 women (36%) and 1 in 4 men (29%) reported being the victim of IPV in their lifetime.4
• Eighty-one percent of women and 35 percent of men who were victims of rape, stalking, or physical violence by an intimate partner reported at least one negative impact on their daily activities as a result of this violence.4
• Strangulation is one of the most lethal forms of violence in IPV and sexual assault cases. Studies show that anywhere from 43 to 53 percent of domestic homicide victims had experienced at least one incident of attempted strangulation prior to a lethal event.5
• In 2008, 53 percent of women murdered by an intimate partner were killed with a gun.6
• Forty-two percent of victims of non-fatal IPV reported that perpetrators were under the influence of alcohol or illicit drugs at the time of the attack.7
• In 2003, the estimated cost of IPV against women exceeded $8.3 billion, including $6.2 billion associated with physical assault, $1.2 billion in the value of lost lives, $461 million associated with stalking, and $460 million associated with rape.8

HOW DOES IT AFFECT MARYLAND?
• In 2010, 4.23 million (42%) of women in Maryland reported being victims of rape, physical violence, and/or stalking by an intimate partner in their lifetime. Maryland has a higher percentage of females with a history of IPV compared to other states in the region. Nationally, Maryland reported the 6th highest lifetime rate of IPV among females.4
• In 2010, 2.97 million (27%) of men in Maryland reported being victims of rape, physical violence, and/or stalking by an intimate partner in their lifetime.4
• In 2010, 18 women and 3 men in Maryland were murdered as a result of IPV.9
• There were 15,055 crimes involving IPV reported to law enforcement agencies in Maryland in 2014. Twenty percent of these incidents involved assaults with dangerous weapons or resulted in a serious injury.9
• Homicide is a leading cause of death during pregnancy or within the first postpartum year in Maryland. The majority of these homicides were perpetrated by an intimate partner.10,11
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Table 1: Maryland IPV Laws from 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legislative Session</th>
<th>Bill Number(s)</th>
<th>Title of Bill</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>SB 578/HB 167</td>
<td>Domestic Violence - Person Eligible for Relief</td>
<td><strong>Summary:</strong> Expands eligibility for a domestic violence protective order by altering the definition of a “person eligible for relief” to include a person related to the person eligible for relief by blood, marriage, or adoption. The bill also repeals a provision that restricted eligibility for a parent, stepparent, child, or stepchild of the person eligible for relief to those individuals who reside or have resided with the respondent or person eligible for relief for at least 90 days within one year prior to the filing of the petition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>SB 960/HB 1396</td>
<td>Family Law - Domestic Violence - Definition of Abuse</td>
<td><strong>Summary:</strong> Alters the definition of “abuse” for purposes of specified provisions of law relating to domestic violence to include harassment and malicious destruction of property. Also, defining harassment and malicious destruction of property.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>SB 1047</td>
<td>Task Force to Study Recording Deeds for Victims of Domestic Violence</td>
<td><strong>Summary:</strong> Establishing the Task Force to Study Recording Deeds for Victims of Domestic Violence; requiring the Task Force to study and make recommendations regarding how to protect the identity and address of a participant in the Address Confidentiality Program for victims of domestic violence in the Office of the Secretary of State when recording a deed transferring real property to or from a Program participant; requiring the Task Force to report its findings to the Governor and General Assembly on or before December 1, 2017; etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>SB 1143</td>
<td>Prince George's County - Neshante and Chloe Davis Domestic Violence Prevention Task Force</td>
<td><strong>Summary:</strong> Establishes the Neshante and Chloe Davis Domestic Violence Prevention Task Force. Requires the Task Force to study and make recommendations to the Governor and the General Assembly regarding domestic violence prevention strategies and policies on or before December 1, 2016.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>HB 819</td>
<td>Domestic Violence - Permanent Protective Orders - Probation and Suspended Sentence</td>
<td><strong>Summary:</strong> Specifying that, for purposes of provisions of law requiring a court to issue a permanent final protective order under specified circumstances against an individual who was convicted and sentenced to serve a specified term of imprisonment for specified crimes, a term of imprisonment includes any period of probation or portion of the sentence suspended.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data in this table compiled by University of Maryland School of Law. Information on these IPV laws can be found here: [http://mgaleg.maryland.gov/webmga/frmLegislation.aspx?pid=legisnpage&tab=subject3](http://mgaleg.maryland.gov/webmga/frmLegislation.aspx?pid=legisnpage&tab=subject3)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legislative Session</th>
<th>Bill Number(s)</th>
<th>Title of Bill</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>SB 31/HB 7</td>
<td>Family Law - Child Abuse and Neglect - Expungement of Reports and Records - Time Period</td>
<td><strong>Summary:</strong> Alters the time period after which a local department of social services is required to expunge specified reports and records of suspected child abuse and neglect. Local departments must maintain report of suspected abuse or neglect and investigative findings for at least five (5) years after the date of referral if the report is unsubstantiated or ruled out and no further reports of abuse or neglect are received during the five years. The report and investigative findings must be expunged after the expiration of this time period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>SB 69/HB 1215</td>
<td>Civil Actions - Child Sexual Abuse - Statute of Limitations</td>
<td><strong>Summary:</strong> Extends the statute of limitations in specified civil action relating to child sexual abuse from 7 years to 20 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>SB 310/HB 245</td>
<td>Child Abuse and Neglect - Failure to Report</td>
<td><strong>Summary:</strong> Requires an agency that is participating in a child abuse or neglect investigation and that has substantial grounds to believe that a person has knowingly failed to report child abuse as required under a specified provision of law to file a specified complaint with a specified board, agency, institution, or facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>SB 577/HB 825</td>
<td>Child Protection - Reporting - Threat of Harm</td>
<td><strong>Summary:</strong> Authorizes an individual to notify the local department of social services or the appropriate law enforcement agency if the individual has reason to believe that a verbal threat of imminent severe bodily harm or death to a child has been made by a specified individual and that the child is at substantial risk of child abuse. Also, the bill specifies the procedures and requirements for a report concerning a verbal threat of harm to a child.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>SB 1096</td>
<td>Child Abuse and Neglect - Information Regarding Parents Responsible for Child Abuse or Neglect</td>
<td><strong>Summary:</strong> Alters the circumstances under which the Executive Director of the Social Services Administration is required to provide to the Secretary of Health and Mental Hygiene information relating to individuals who have had their parental rights terminated without consent or who have been identified as responsible for child abuse or neglect that resulted in a criminal conviction. Also, removes a 5-year time limitation on a specified requirement that the Secretary provide specified birth record information to the Executive Director.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**HOW DO WE ADDRESS THIS PROBLEM?**

- In April 2016, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) released STOP SV: A Technical Package to Prevent Sexual Violence to help states and communities prioritize efforts to prevent sexual violence. This technical package is a collection of strategies that represent the best available evidence to prevent or reduce public health problems like violence. They help improve the health and well-being of communities.\(^{12}\)

- The CDC supports programs and interventions that prevent violence before it occurs.\(^{13}\) Evidence-based programs that encourage healthy and safe relationships in teens can reduce dating violence, and can reduce the risk of future IPV. Incorporating these programs into school curricula would expand their reach and impact.\(^{14}\)

- Current Maryland Laws include arrests, protective, and peace orders related to domestic violence. See Table 1 for laws from 2016.

- Risk of IPV is lower when victims can obtain protective orders from courts. Judges in Maryland can now grant protective orders to individuals in an abusive relationship who do not live together but had a sexual relationship within the past year. Previously only peace orders, which are not as restrictive to the perpetrator, could be granted to dating couples who were not living together. Peace orders can only be extended to six months while protective orders can be extended to two years. Allowing peace orders the same duration as protective orders will likely offer greater protection to victims of IPV that are not married to, or cohabiting with the perpetrator.\(^{15,16,17}\)

- Substance abuse and mental illness are common among perpetrators of IPV.\(^{18}\) Policies which require screening\(^ {19}\) and treatment for offenders with these conditions reduce IPV.\(^ {20}\)
ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

- House of Ruth: www.hruth.org
- The People's Law Library of Maryland: http://www.peoples-law.org/dvshelters
- Maryland Courts: http://www.courts.state.md.us/legalhelp/domesticviolence.html
- DHMH Maternal and Child Health Bureau: http://phpa.dhmh.maryland.gov/mch/Pages/ipv.aspx
- Children’s Safety Network: https://www.childrenssafetynetwork.org/injury-topics/familyintimate-partner-violence
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